

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/753,062	MCKENNEY ET AL.
	Examiner Khanh Dang	Art Unit 2181

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Khanh Dang. (3) _____.

(2) Ms. Lieberman (Atty of Record). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 04 November 2003.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: N/A.


Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


Khanh Dang
Primary Examiner

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Ms. Lieberman was advised that Ex. Huynh is not able to conduct an interview at this time because of the following reasons:

- 1) The application has already been ordered back to work group 2100 by Ex. Huynh but is still unavailable.
- 2) The Interview Request Form does not specifically clarify issues so that the interview could serve to develop a mutual understanding between the Examiner and the Applicant, and thereby advance the prosecution of the application. See MPEP 713.

It is agreed that Ms. Lieberman will fax another Interview Request Form and be more specific on arguments to be presented in the interview. Ex. Huynh will call Ms. Lieberman to set up an interview. ..